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ABSTRACT
This study aimed to explain the relationship between self-concept and mathematics procedural knowledge among undergraduate students of mathematics education. This study investigated the affective and cognitive aspect of students in the learning of mathematics. The method in this study surveyed with non-probability sampling technique. The subjects were 133 undergraduate students of mathematics education from public and private university in Palembang, Indonesia. 66 of them were undergraduate students in public university. The rest of them were undergraduate students in a private university. The instruments that were used are questionnaire of self-concept and essay test of mathematics procedural knowledge. The result from the Spearman Rank Correlation showed Sig. = 0.006 < 0.05. From that result, we conclude that there is a significant relationship between undergraduate students’ self-concept and their mathematics procedural knowledge.

1. INTRODUCTION
The relation of affective and cognitive aspect to students in learning mathematics is an interesting issue to discuss. That issue involve not only about how students learn mathematics, but also how his/her psychology when learn it. Assessment that only observes cognitive dimension is not enough yet to describe students’ mathematics achievement (Suryanto, 2008). Affective dimension also needs to be observed to students, because the characteristic can give an image to a teacher about students’ psychology, such as attitude when he/she faces mathematics subject. Positive attitude to mathematics is an important part of mathematics education (Reyes, 1984). One of the affective aspects that describes an individual and relates to his/her cognitive dimension is self-concept (Ghazvini, 2011).
Self-concept is the way of individual views about he/she abilities in various aspects, such as academics, athletics, and social interactions. In social life, individual behavior corresponds to his/her self-concept. It determines individual reaction to people surrounding him/her (Mishra, 2016). According to Shavelson & Bolus (1982), self-concept can be categorized as two, that is, academic self-concept and non-academic self-concept. Self-concept that views from academic can be defined as academic self-concept. An academic self-concept is an individual psychological relate to belief about his/her ability in academic (e.g. mathematics) (Flowers, Raynor Jr, & White, 2013). Individual experiences in academic achievement related to the ways that he/she has learned to view his/herself and his/her relationships with others people. That individual view refers to his/her academic self-concept (Oluwatosin & Bamidele, 2014). According to Fin & Ishak (2014), an individual non-academic self-concept also influences his/her academic achievement, but indirectly. They explained that academic self-concept as a mediator between non-academic self-concept and academic achievement.

There are many aspects relate to individual self concept, that are, physical characteristic, individual concern, social identity, individual personality (Reyes, 1984), view of the future (Barongo & Nyamwange, 2013; Deshmukh, 2015; Lone & Lone, 2016; Rajeswari & Kalaivani, 2016), the influence of others who become role models (Andinny, 2015), and the influence of surrounding environment (Singh, 2015). Self-concept in academic, especially mathematics, according to Reyes (1984), Students’ view of the mathematics is an important aspect in learning mathematics.

The studies that concern to the relationship between self-concept and mathematics achievement have been investigated by Ayodele (2011), Seaton et al. (2014), Andinny (2015), Jamaladinia et al. (2015), Singh (2015) and Timmerman, Van Luit, & Toll (2017). They argued that there is a relationship between both variables. On the other previous studies, there is no correlation between self-concept and academic achievement (Yahaya & Ramli, 2009; Afuwape, 2011; Berg & Coetzee, 2014; Nalah, 2014; Yengimolki, Kalantarkousheh, & Malekitabar, 2015). That result also occurred to Adebule (2014), Awai & Ogori (2016) studies’ in mathematics. We argued that inconsistence phenomenon because of mathematics achievement in their studies was unclear about what mathematical ability that they observed.

Students’ academic achievement, according to Zulnaidi & Zamri (2017), can be interpreted as students learning ability. There are many aspects of learning ability in mathematics, that are conceptual, procedural, problem-solving, communication, reasoning, and representation ability. To make that problem clear, we investigated self-concept and mathematical procedural ability. We defined mathematical procedural ability as procedural knowledge in mathematics. We focused on that ability because it is fundamental that students should have in learning mathematics. Zulnaidi & Zamri (2017) stated that students need to acquire procedural knowledge at least. Mathematics procedural knowledge as a knowledge that knows about the symbols in a system and its structure and knows about the rules or procedures to solve mathematics problem (Star & Stylianides, 2013; Joersz, 2017; Zulnaidi & Zamri, 2017).

Mathematics procedural knowledge is a part of mathematics understanding. Mathematical understanding, according to Skemp (1976), consists of instrumental and relational understanding. A student that has instrumental understanding, if he/she can apply mathematics formula to a problem, whereas a student that has relational understanding, if he/she knows a mathematics rule, apply it, and the reason. It shows that instrumental understanding corresponds to procedural knowledge or action conception in APOS theory perspective (Afgani, Suryadi, & Dahan, 2017). Mathematics procedural knowledge that was measured in this study is about calculus preliminary materials that are, simplification
and factorization of algebra form in R system, quadratic equation, inequality, absolute value, linear equation, and function. One of the example of the items is "Determine the linear equation that through (2, -5) and perpendicular with 2x – 4y = 3". A solution of that mathematics problem requires mathematics procedural knowledge of students. Firstly, they must transform the equation to become y = ax + b. the new equation shows that "a" is a gradient of the first line. The gradient that perpendicular to the first line is negative reciprocal of "a". Finally, they must substitute it and (2, -5) to a formula of the linear equation through a point with gradient is known and it produces only one answer. The procedure to solve that mathematics problem connects with some mathematics concept. This means that students also need to know mathematics concepts. In this situation, students must know the concepts that are needed to solve it so that they can operate the procedure completely. According to Kusuma & Masduki (2016), a student cannot choose a right procedure to solve mathematics problem due to he/she does not know the concepts that appropriate to solve the problem. In mathematical procedural ability, students only need to know or remember a mathematics concept and apply it and he/she does not have to understand the concept very well.

As the previous explanation, there is an inconsistence result about students’ self-concept and their mathematics achievement. In this study, we still investigated both variables, but the scope of mathematics achievement is focused on mathematics procedural knowledge. The research question is tried to answer; is there a relationship between self-concept and mathematics procedural knowledge among undergraduate students of mathematics education.

2. METHOD

The method was used in this study is survey. The subjects were 133 undergraduate students of mathematics education from public and private university in Palembang, Indonesia. They were chosen through non-probability sampling. 66 of them were undergraduate students in public university which consists of 12 males and 54 females. The rest of them were undergraduate students in private university which consist of 9 males and 58 females.

The instruments that were used are questionnaire of self-concept and essay test of mathematics procedural knowledge. Self-concept instrument was developed from instruments that were used by Ferla, Valcke, & Cai (2009), Matovu (2012), Flowers et al. (2013) in form Likert scale. We used that form, because it easy to understand respondents’ perception (Subedi, 2016). We developed the instrument to be appropriated with the condition to undergraduate students of mathematics education that take calculus of differential class. For test instrument, we used problems referenced from calculus book. Before we applied both instruments to field test, we did validity and reliability test to the instruments. The respondents are non-experiment subject. According to Subedi (2016), Likert scale can be assumed as a set of data arranged in sequential category and continuous. The data can be treated as interval data, so according to Joshi et al. (2015) implied that the validity test from interval data can use Pearson product moment and the reliability test use Cronbach's Alpha. Hence, the validity and reliability test in this study used both formulas and the result presented in Table 1.
The result from Table 1 showed that one item of the non-test instrument and two items of test instrument were invalid because the correlation values were less than each of its critical value of Pearson. It because there was several respondents obtain same total score, but score of the items was different. That means a validity of the items was less convince (Arikunto, 2012). Hence, only 42 items of self-concept questionnaire and 14 items of mathematics procedural knowledge test were valid and reliable.

The procedures to investigate self-concept and mathematics procedural knowledge of undergraduate students of mathematics education are, Firstly, We collected the data of both variables. We examined mathematics procedural knowledge about calculus preliminary to the subjects during 2 hours. After they finished it, they were requested to fill self-concept questionnaire. The data of the questionnaire in this study were treated as interval according to Joshi et al. (2015), because the result of construct validity test from four experts in mathematics education implied each item is arranged logically, interconnected, coherent, and measure different elements from aspects of self-concept. Secondly, we processed the data. Because type of both data variabes was interval, we converted the score from both variables become value ranging 0–100. The formula is ideal score / student score x 100. Ideal score for the self-concept questionnaire was 168 and mathematics procedural knowledge test was 54. After that, we seek the average and standard deviation value of self-concept score in terms of overall. We categorized the average value of students’ self-concept and their mathematics procedural knowledge become 5 categories, that are, very good (81–100), good (61–80), good enough (41–60), adverse (21–40), and very adverse (0–20). To test the hypothesis, there is a relationship between self-concept and mathematics procedural knowledge among undergraduate students of mathematics education, we do correlation test.

The data analysis that was used in this study is descriptive statistic to categorize the data and Pearson product-moment to test the correlation between self concept and mathematics procedural knowledge among undergraduate students of mathematics education. To assist all that analysis, we used SPSS 16.0.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptively, the results of data analysis showed that mean value of students’ self-concept is 82.63 with standard deviation is 6.39. From 0–100 scale, it means that students’ self-concept was categorized good. This result is also supported by a study of Suryanto (2008). Mean value of students’ mathematics procedural knowledge is 21.31 with standard deviation is 14.94. It means that students’ mathematics procedural knowledge was categorized adverse. According to Barongo & Nyamwange (2013), the result reveals...
incongruence between students self-concept with their mathematics procedural knowledge. It will congruence if both aspects in the same category.

To answer the research question, is there a relationship between students’ self-concept and their mathematics procedural knowledge, firstly, we did preliminary analysis test to both variables. From Table 2, the normality test of Self-Concept (SC) value has sig. = 0.200 > 0.05 and Mathematics Procedural Knowledge (MPK) value has sig. = 0.002 < 0.05. It means distributions of the data are normal, except MPK value.

**Table 2. Summary of Normality Test of Self Concept and Mathematics Procedural Knowledge Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>-0.661</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPK</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>2.558</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the homogeneity test between public and private university, the Sig. value of SC was 0.746 and the Sig. value of MPK value was 0.448. It shows that both sig. value > 0.05. It means that the data is homogenous variance. The result is summarized in Table 3.

**Table 3. Summary of Homogeneity test of Self Concept and Mathematics Procedural Knowledge Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Levene statistic</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self Concept</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics Procedural Knowledge</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From that result, to test the hypothesis there is a significant relationship between self-concept and mathematics procedural knowledge of undergraduate students of mathematics education used Spearman rank correlation.

**Table 4. Summary of Relationship between Self-Concept and Mathematics Procedural Knowledge Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient Correlation</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>82.63</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPK</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result from Table 4 showed that Sig. = 0.006 < 0.05. It means there is a significant relationship between students’ self-concept and their mathematics procedural knowledge. Furthermore, the correlation coefficient showed 0.238 and its determination coefficient was 5.66%. It means that the correlation between both variables is positive but low, moreover student self-concept only influenced his/her mathematics procedural knowledge equal to 5.66% and the rest by other variables. This result also equivalents with Timmerman et al. (2017) study. According to Andaya (2014), self-directed learning, attitude towards mathematics, motivation, and time spend in learning mathematics were the other factors that can influence students’ success in mathematics. The results of the study had shown that there is a significant relationship between students’ self-concept and their mathematics procedural knowledge. The result could be convinced, because type of both data variables is not different. This result was relevant to the study of Leonard & Supardi (2010). They reported that students’ self-concept influence his/her mathematics learning outcome, because positive view, perception, and his/her confidence will improve
mathematics learning outcome. Othman & Leng (2011) reported little different from the
previous study. They claimed that students’ self-concept relate to his/her academic
achievement, but weak. From our analysis, that because an academic achievement involve
many school subjects area. In line with Leonard & Supardi, Ayodele (2011) implied that
students’ self-concept relate to his/her mathematics performance moderately, that because
students thought or felt about, attitude, perception to mathematics was moderately positive.

In Seaton et al. (2014) perspective, academic self-concept has reciprocal relation
with achievement. According to Andinny (2015), self-concept influence mathematics
performance in form extends an opportunity to students to solve a mathematics problem.
Jamaldini et al. (2015), and Singh (2015) also reported that students’ self-concept relation to
his/her academic achievement in mathematics. Singh (2015) added an argumentation that
self-concept was a consequence from high achievement. It means students’ academic
ability influence his/her self-concept. More detail, Timmerman et al. (2017) reported that
the correlation between math self-concept with math achievement was weak in
measurement and relations subject and moderate in numbers and scale subject. He argued
that students’ attitude and perception about his/her mathematical ability is a main factor of
self-concept that influence students’ math achievement. That means the result of this study
supports the result of previous study, because students’ mathematics achievement can be
observed through their mathematical abilities. One of that ability is mathematics
procedural knowledge. In this study, it covered simplification and factorization of algebra
form in R system, quadratic equation, inequality, absolute value, linear equation, and
function. Nevertheless, the result also showed the correlation between both variables was
low and incongruence. It means that student with high self-concept does not certainly have
high mathematics achievement. This is because undergraduate students’ self-concept was
categorized good, whereas their mathematics procedural knowledge was categorized
adverse. In this study, most of the undergraduate students difficult to solve mathematics
problems related to linear equation and function.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the result of this study, we conclude that there is a significant relationship
between self concept and mathematics procedural knowledge. Besides that, this study has a
few limitations. First, the sample was used non-probability sampling and a number of
samples were too limited, so the conclusion cannot be generalized to all undergraduate
students majoring mathematics education in Palembang, Indonesia. Second, both
instruments only valid and reliable to sample has characteristic that resembles the sample
involved in this study. For next studies, we recommended to investigating self-concept and
others mathematical ability or relation between mathematics procedural knowledge and
others affective aspect to an amount of representative samples. So that, the relationship
between affective and cognitive dimension in mathematics education can explain clearly.
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